Quality System for the Generation and Submission of SEND Files

Interorganizational SEND

GLP Audited Study Data Utilized in Other Components of the eCTD Submission

- QAU Audit of GLP Studies
- Nonclinical Study Conduct
- Nonclinical Data
- Nonclinical Report
  - Text
  - Tables
  - Appendices
  - QA Statement
- SEND File
  - Study Reports
  - Tox Tabulated Summary
  - Nonclinical Overview
  - Toxicology Tabulated Summary
  - Nonclinical Written Summary
  - Investors Brochure

The issuance of initial draft guidance by the FDA regarding SEND (Standard for the Exchange of Nonclinical Data) has prompted discussion about the structure of quality systems to support implementation of the standard. This evaluation generated a new perspective on the regulatory and quality system implications.

Factors Influencing SEND Quality System

- SEND does not support reporting of an audit trail
- SEND files may also be submitted for non-GLP studies which would be outside GLP requirements
- Flexibility to comply with Guidance if SEND quality system outside of GLP requirements
  - e.g. addition of CAS number after study finalization

Regulatory Basis – SEND Quality System

- GLP nonclinical study conduct, data and reporting require GLP audit per 21 CFR 58.35
- Tabulated data is a submission requirement per 21 CFR 312.23(a)(8)(ii)(b) and SEND will standardize the submission of electronic nonclinical data per Food and Drug Administration Safety and Innovation Act (FDASIA)
- If SEND is utilized for report table generation or analyses presented in the report, an output from SEND may become a report element requiring GLP audit
  - Similar to statistical analyses reported based on tumor.xpt

Quality System Proposal

- Existing GLP controls required for GLP source data and reporting
- Quality System elements for SEND similar to those for other submission components
  - Procedures, review/QC check, training, system qualification
- Additional SEND-specific quality checks
  - Management of translations, SEND conformance checker

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality System Elements</th>
<th>Non-GLP Data &amp; Report</th>
<th>GLP Data &amp; Report</th>
<th>SEND Files</th>
<th>Other Submission Components</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GLP QAU Auditing</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study Director Oversight/Review</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>¿</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedures/Standard Processes</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review/QC Check</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Qualification/Validation</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management of Standard Translations</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEND Conformance Checker</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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